PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE 🤇

In re the application of:

Group Art Unit: 2814

K. SUZUKI et al.

Examiner: H. B. Trinh

Serial Number: 09/600,888

Atty. Docket No.: 107242-000

Filed: August 15, 2000

For: LIGHT EMITTING DIODE AND FABRICATION PROCESS THEREFOR

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

August 16, 2002

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed July 16, 2002, the Applicants hereby elect Group 1, namely Claims 7-10, with traverse as to claims 11-13 of Group II.

It is believed that all of the claims in this case are properly examinable together under PCT Rule 13.1 (see the specific examples of types of claims that can be examined together). Claims 11-13 (Group II) are drawn to a fabrication process for the light emitting diode of Group I. Claim 7 is drawn to a light emitting diode. If the light emitting diode of Group I is patentable, then the process of making the light emitting diode is clearly patentable subject matter.

Unity exists between Claims 7-13 as the special technical feature common to all the claims is the light emitting diode. The subject matter of Group II can thus be searched with the subject matter of Group I, and no reason is seen to require a restriction between these two Groups.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement is

believed in order and is requested.

In the event any fees are required with this paper, please charge Deposit Account 1-2300 under Client Matter No 107242-00005.

Respectfully submitted,

Lynb A. Bristol
Reg. No. 48,898

No. 01-2300 under Client Matter No 107242-00005.

Reg. No. 48,898

Customer No. 004372 ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN PLLC 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036-5339 Tel (202) 857-6000 Fax (202) 638-4810 LAB/elp